

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/063,513	05/01/2002	Dan L. Eaton	10466/298	8124	
28457 7	7590 04/28/2004		EXAMINER		
BRINKS HO	FER GILSON & LIO	SPECTOR, I	ORRAINE		
CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1647	1647	

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eacherous of time many be available under the provision of 3° CPR 1.136(a), In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply white the statulory princing to reply is appointed on the marking period will gray and will explore (50) MONTHS from the marking date of this communication.  Fabric to reply white the set or estended period for reply with, by statulor, period will grayly and will explore (50) MONTHS from the marking date of this communication.  Fabric to reply white the set or estended period for reply with, by statulor, period will grayly and will explore (50) MONTHS from the marking date of this communication.  Fabric to reply white the set or estended period for reply with, by statulor, period will grayly and will explore (50) MONTHS from the marking date of this communication.  Fabric to reply white the set of the second part of the communication of the second part of the communication of the communication.  Fabric to reply white the set of the communication of the communication of the communication.  All Claim (s) Explored to communication for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The proving shifted on 0.1 May 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.15(d).  11) The coement drawi			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Lorraine Spector, Ph.D.	Office Action Summary		10/063,513	EATON ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 °CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled and so 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 19 of the provision of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 19 of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 19 of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 19 of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 19 of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 19 of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 19 of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 19 of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 19 of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 19 of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 19 of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 19 of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 19 of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 19 of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 19 of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 19 of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 19 of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 19 of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 19 of 15 (x) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 19			Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled state 3X(s) (SUNTHS from the mailing date of this commission.  1 HNO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  1 HNO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  1 HNO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  1 HNO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  1 HNO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  2 HNO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  2 HNO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  3 HNO period for reply is specified above. The maximum statutory period will apply and will apply and will apply and will apply and any specified and specified and period will apply and any specified and period will apply and any specified and period will apply any specified and period will apply and any specified and period will apply any specified and period will a								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estations of time may be windled under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  It to period for raply specified size is beat bath file (2) days, a region of the provision of Claims    1	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  7  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  7  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are objected to.  8  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are objected to by the Examiner.  4  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status							
3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5   Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6   Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10   The drawing(s) filed on 01 May 2002 is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of: 1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1)∐ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	'					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a)∐ 1							
Al Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 01 May 2002 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	3) 🗌 💲	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
4)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 01 May 2002 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.   Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   a) All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	C	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on of accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Dispositio	n of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on of is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4) 🛛 (	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on O1 May 2002 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4							
7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 01 May 2002 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	5) 🗌 (	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 May 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)								
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on O1 May 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on O1 May 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	8)∐ (	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
10)  The drawing(s) filed on 01 May 2002 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	9)∐ T	he specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 May 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)								
12)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)</li> </ul>								
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)	<u> </u>							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
Attachment(s)  1) \( \sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) \( \sum \) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	• (0 )			a				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
2) Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/10/2002.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	3) 🔯 Informa	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) Notice of Informal P					

## Part III: Detailed Office Action

Claims 1-6 are pending and under consideration.

The claims are drawn to antibodies that bind the protein designated PRO295, also identified as encoded by DNA38268-1188 and ATCC accession number 209421, shown in Figures 7 (nucleic acid) and 8 (protein).

#### Formal Matters and claim objections:

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim 4 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. An antibody cannot be a fragment of itself.

#### IDS:

The information disclosure statement, filed 9/10/2002, has been considered. The BLAST results demonstrate that applicants are aware of nucleic acids with identity/homology to the one claimed herein. However, as the BLAST results do not give sufficient identifying information, the Examiner cannot determine if said sequences constitute prior art.

#### **Priority Determination:**

This invention is found to lack utility, see rejections below. Accordingly, priority is merited only to the filing date of Application Serial Number 10/006867, filed 12/6/2001, of which this is a continuation application.

Should the applicant disagree with the examiner's factual determination above, it is incumbent upon the applicant to provide the serial number and specific page number(s) of any

parent application filed prior to the date recited above which specifically supports the particular claim limitation for each and every claim limitation in all the pending claims which applicant considers to have been in possession of and fully enabled for prior to that date.

#### Objections and Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §101 and §112:

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific, substantial and credible asserted utility or a well established utility.

The specification discloses a protein designated PRO295, and nucleic acid encoding such. There is no discussion of the structure of the protein encoded by the claimed nucleic acids, nor disclosure of any relationship between such structure and a purported function. There is no disclosure of any disease or condition in any way related to the claimed protein, nor disclosure of any diagnostic or analytical assay that could be performed using the claimed protein or antibodies thereto.

The claims are directed to antibodies that bind to the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:8. The specification contains numerous asserted utilities including use in immunoassays (p. 95), use in chemotherapeutics (p. 109), and pharmaceutical compositions (pp. 109-110). Use in diagnostic assays or for immunopurification of PRO295 protein are disclosed at page 113. None of these asserted utilities is specific for the disclosed PRO295 antibodies, as each of the aforementioned utilities could be asserted for any antibody that binds naturally occurring protein, and further, as none of the asserted utilities requires any feature or activity that is specific to the disclosed PRO295.

Utility must be in readily available form. In Brenner v. Manson, 148 U.S.P.Q. 689 (Sup. Ct, 1966), a process of producing a novel compound that was structurally analogous to other compounds which were known to possess anti-cancer activity was alleged to be useful because the compound produced thereby was potentially useful as an anti-tumor agent in the absence of

Art Unit: 1647

evidence supporting this utility. The court expressed the opinion that all chemical compounds are "useful" to the chemical arts when this term is given its broadest interpretation. However, the court held that this broad interpretation was not the intended definition of "useful" as it appears in 35 U.S.C. § 101, which requires that an invention must have either an immediately obvious or fully disclosed "real world" utility. The instant claims are drawn to a polynucleotide encoding a protein which has undetermined function or biological significance. Until some actual and specific activity can be attributed to the protein identified in the specification as PRO295 protein or the polynucleotides encoding it, the claimed invention is incomplete. Merely using the polynucleotides to determine the properties of the encoded protein does not constitute a patentable utility.

Uses of anti-PRO295 antibodies in diagnostic compositions, or chemotherapeutic or other pharmaceutical compositions are not considered to be substantial assertions in the absence of any condition or disease that could be diagnosed using such, nor any medical condition for which the PRO295 protein would be a target for therapeutic administration. There is no disclosure of the expression patterns of the protein that would allow such use, nor, in fact, is it even disclosed whether the protein is soluble or membrane bound, as would be require for such use. Similarly, immunoassay of PRO295 is mere further experimentation to determine the properties of the protein, and not a patentable utility. Hence, the specification is merely an invitation to experiment to find uses for the claimed antibodies.

It is further noted that PRO295 is disclosed as having given positive results in a single assay, the stimulation of TNF- $\alpha$  release in human blood, assay 128, at page 139. In that assay, it is stated that the PRO polypeptide was added to human blood, and then tested for the presence of TNF $\alpha$  by ELISA assay. It is stated that "A positive in the assay is a higher amount of TNF- $\alpha$  in the PRO polypeptide treated samples as compared to the negative control samples." This assay is not considered to impart utility to the protein PRO295, and by extension, to the antibodies that bind it. The reason for this determination is that no results are presented, and the standard disclosed, "a higher amount", is not considered to be an acceptable standard in the scientific community. It is well accepted in experimental science that, in order for a result to be positive, it must be *significantly* different from the control value, not "a higher amount" as reported in the

specification. In this case, it is further noted that the protein (TNF- $\alpha$ ) was detected using an extremely sensitive immunoassay, such that "a higher amount" does not indicate anything more than that a trace amount of TNF- $\alpha$  was present. Therefore, the assertion that the protein could be used "where stimulation of the release of TNF- $\alpha$  would be desired and for the therapeutic treatment of conditions wherein enhanced TNF- $\alpha$  release would be beneficial" is not substantial. The Examiner further notes that she is unaware of *any* condition in which stimulation of TNF- $\alpha$  release in the bloodstream would be desirable, even if, *in arguendo*, significant amounts of the cytokine were produced. Accordingly, the tacit assertion that PRO295 stimulates TNF- $\alpha$  release from blood cells does not meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 101, as the assertion of utility would not be considered substantial by a person of ordinary skill in the art.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-13 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific, substantial and credible asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 states that the claimed antibody "binds" the protein of SEQ ID NO: 8, whereas dependent claim 6 states that the antibody "specifically binds". The term "specifically" in claim 6 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "specifically" is not

Art Unit: 1647

defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Further, because both "binds" and "specifically binds" have been used in the claims, the Examiner cannot determine whether claims 1 and 6 are envisioned as differing in scope, and if so, how.

Claim 4 is indefinite because an antibody cannot be a fragment of itself.

The remaining claims are rejected for depending from an indefinite claim.

### Rejections Over Prior Art:

Priority is set at 12/6/2001.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bass et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,344,541. SEQ ID NO: 2 of Bass et al. is 100% identical to bases 153-1205 of SEQ ID NO: 7 of the instant application, and encodes a protein 100% identical to SEQ ID NO: 8. Vectors, host cells and expression are also disclosed, see abstract. Antibodies, including antibody fragments, monoclonal, polyclonal, humanized and labeled antibodies, are disclosed at column 17, lines 31-61.

Claims 1, 3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 5,744,298 (Stuber et al.). Stuber et al. disclose various peptides that are shown to react with human antibodies to HCMV (human cytomegalovirus). Stuber's peptide of SEQ ID NO: 46 is and 8/12 match to SEQ ID NO: 8, thus antibodies that bind to Stuber's SEQ

Art Unit: 1647

ID NO: 46 would reasonably be expected to bind to SEQ ID NO: 8 of the instant application. See Table 2. With respect to claim 3, a humanized antibody is indistinguishable from a fully human antibody, thus Stuber's antibodies meet the limitation.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Krupnik et al., disclose the human Dkk3 gene and encoded protein, see figure 1c, for example. Dkk3 is 100% identical to the protein of SEQ ID NO: 8 of this application.

#### Advisory Information:

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Lorraine M. Spector. Dr. Spector can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 9:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. Effective 1/21/2004, Dr. Spector's telephone number is 571-272-0893.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Dr. Gary L. Kunz. Effective 1/21/2004, Dr. Kunz' telephone number is 571-272-0887.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1800 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). NOTE: If Applicant does submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 872-9306 (before final rejection) or (703)872-9307 (after final). Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to 571-273-0893.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lorraine Spector, Ph.D. Primary Examiner